



**RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER**

FEB 27 2006

FENWICK & WEST LLP

Silicon Valley Center • 801 California Street • Mountain View, CA 94041
Tel 650.988.8500 • Fax 650.938.5200 • www.fenwick.com

FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION

CONFIDENTIAL

DATE: February 27, 2006

CLIENT-MATTER NO.: 20423-10523

TO:

NAME	FAX NO.	PHONE NO.
Examiner Matthew E. Heneghan Art Unit 2134 USPTO	(571) 273-3800	

FROM: Antonia L. Sequeira

PHONE: (650) 335-7185

RE: U.S. Patent Application Serial No. : 09/855,073

NUMBER OF PAGES WITH COVER PAGE: 2

ORIGINAL WILL NOT FOLLOW

MESSAGE:

Please see enclosed.

CAUTION - CONFIDENTIAL

THE INFORMATION CONTAINED IN THIS FACSIMILE MESSAGE IS PRIVILEGED AND CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION INTENDED ONLY FOR THE USE OF THE INDIVIDUAL OR ENTITY NAMED ABOVE OR THEIR DESIGNEE. IF THE READER OF THIS MESSAGE IS NOT THE INTENDED RECIPIENT, YOU ARE HEREBY NOTIFIED THAT ANY DISSEMINATION, DISTRIBUTION OR COPY OF THIS COMMUNICATION IS STRICTLY PROHIBITED. IF YOU HAVE RECEIVED THIS COMMUNICATION IN ERROR PLEASE IMMEDIATELY NOTIFY US BY TELEPHONE AND RETURN THE ORIGINAL MESSAGE TO US AT THE ABOVE ADDRESS VIA THE U.S. POSTAL SERVICE. THANK YOU.

**IF YOU DO NOT RECEIVE ALL OF THE PAGES, OR IF THEY ARE NOT CLEAR,
PLEASE CALL Larisa Burshteyn AT (650) 943-5373 AS SOON AS POSSIBLE.**

20423/10526/DOCS/1581638.1

Applicant Initiated Interview Request Form

Application No.: 09/855,073 First Named Applicant: David Tucker
 Examiner: Matthew Heneghan Art Unit: 2134 Status of Application: Pending

Tentative Participants:

(1) Antonia Sequeira, Reg. No.: 54,670 (2) _____

(3) _____ (4) _____

Proposed Date of Interview: February 28, 2006 Proposed Time: 11:00 AM PST: 2:00 PM EST

Type of Interview Requested:

(1) Telephonic (2) Personal (3) Video Conference

Exhibit To Be Shown or Demonstrated: YES NO
 If yes, provide brief description:

Issues To Be Discussed

Issues (Rej., Obj., etc.)	Claims/ Fig. #s	Prior Art	Discussed	Agreed	Not Agreed
(1) <u>REJ/103(a)</u>	<u>1-4, 6-10, 12, 21-23</u>	<u>Colberg WO 99/01815/Venkatesan 6,829,710</u>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(2) <u>REJ/103(a)</u>	<u>1 and 5</u>	<u>Nachenberg 6,006,328/Venkatesan 6,829,710</u>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(3) <u>REJ/103(a)</u>	<u>48, 39, 50, 51- 53</u>	<u>Drake 5,696,822/Venkatesan 6,829,710</u>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(4) _____	_____	_____	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Continuation Sheet Attached

Brief Description of Arguments to be Presented:


Discussion of arguments presented in response, including arguments regarding lack of random selection from a plurality of candidate codes, lack of a substitution step, and combinability of the cited art.

An interview was conducted on the above-identified application on _____.

NOTE:

This form should be completed by applicant and submitted to the examiner in advance of the interview (see MPEP § 713.01).

This application will not be delayed from issue because of applicant's failure to submit a written record of this interview. Therefore, applicant is advised to file a statement of the substance of this interview (37 CFR 1.133(b)) as soon as possible.


 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature)

(Examiner/SPE Signature)